

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CARLOS ROMERO,

Plaintiff,

v.

ANGELO TREVINO, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:24-cv-3454 DJC CKD P

ORDER

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On March 27, 2025, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to Plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The Magistrate Judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed the file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the Magistrate Judge's

1 analysis.

2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 3 1. The findings and recommendations filed March 27, 2025 are adopted in full;  
4 2. Plaintiff's claims against defendants in their official capacities are dismissed; and  
5 3. This matter is referred back to the assigned Magistrate Judge for all further  
6 pretrial proceedings.

7  
8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 Dated: **May 2, 2025**

  
Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE